

CPR.06 16/17

Corporate Policy & Resources Committee

12 May 2016

Subject: Progress and Delivery Report – Services – 4th Period Report – March

2016

Report by: Director of Resources

Contact Officer: | Ian Knowles – Director of Resources

01427 675183

lan.knowles@west-lindsey.gov.uk

Purpose / Summary: This report deals with the progress and delivery of the

services the council provides. It is an "exceptions" report and will deal with those services which are either performing above the required level or are below the target set for them. The report will also update members on those areas which were included in the first quarter report and have subsequently improved and therefore are not included in this report.

RECOMMENDATION(S):

1) That the Committee examine the report and assure themselves that the arrangements in place to oversee Services across the Council are effective.

IMPLICATIONS

Legal: Non	Legal: None arising from this report								
Financial :	FIN/22/17	None							
Staffing : N	lone arising	from this report							
Equality ar	nd Diversity	r including Human Rights: None ar	rising from th	is report.					
Risk Asses	ssment: N	one arising from this report							
Climate Re	lated Risks	and Opportunities: None arising f	from this repo	hc					
Title and L	ocation of	any Background Papers used in th	ne preparation	on of this report:					
Call in and	Urgency:								
Is the decis	sion one w	nich Rule 14 of the Scrutiny Proce	dure Rules a	apply?					
Yes		No	x						
Key Decisi	on:								
Yes		No	x						

1.0 Background

- 1.1 Councillors have received progress and delivery reports since 2012. They have sought to give councillors information on how the council is performing through its services, project delivery and finances. This has given councillors the opportunities to question officers on performance and ensure that any rectification measures proposed to remedy poor performance are sufficient to tackle the issues identified.
- 1.2 This report is about the services the council is delivering in order to meet the objectives it has set itself in the corporate plan.
- 1.3 For clarity this report will provide information on those services that are either performing below their target level or have exceeded the performance expected of them. This will be done within certain tolerance levels therefore services which are just below their target performance will not be reported at this stage, but will be monitoring through the council's services leadership team. Generally explanations and rectifications are given where an aspect of a service is performing below the required standard.
- 1.4 In addition the report will contain information on services which were included in the last period's exceptions report, but have subsequently improved to the extent that they are not included in this report. This is to demonstrate to members that remedial measures which have been put in place are working.

2.0 Update on Issues highlighted in the Third Quarter report

2.1 Complaints received

Complaints continued at a similar rate during the last quarter. A separate report on feedback will be brought to policy committee later in the year. Planning continued to represent over 30% of the total number of complaints.

2.2 Gainsborough Markets

This service has recently become the responsibility of the Projects and Growth Team who are currently exploring the options to improve this service. A separate paper was taken to Prosperous Communities Committee in May.

2.3 Local Land Charges

Performance has improved and is now below the target of ten days for returning a search. This does come at a cost and although work is ongoing to secure a IT solution for the longer term.

2.4 Development Management

Performance against the key measures continues to improve and is now above the government set targets. However complaints have continued at similar levels throughout the year whilst allowed appeals are higher than we would like. A restructure is currently being implemented and is expected improve the situation further.

2.6 Enforcement

Demand within the Enforcement Team has increased over the last quarter and has caused the length of time to resolve cases to become extended. The resource requirement in this team is currently being reviewed.

3.0 Measures to highlight for this quarter not already mentioned

3.1 Assets and Facilities Management

The level of customer satisfaction for this team is higher than the target set and should be recognised. In addition the work undertaken on reducing void periods for property for rent has taken this measure to below 5%, ahead of our target and appropriate benchmarks.

3.2 Council Tax

The council tax collection rate for 2015/16 is 98.34% which is an increase of 0.11% from the previous years' total which in monetary terms equates to an increase of £23,000.

The liability for council tax increased by £1.474 million for 2015/16 and the team collected just under £1.5 million more than 2014/15.

An additional 425 council tax properties were brought into the valuation list by the Valuation Officer during 2015/16 and we have just over 5,000 council taxpayers paying by 12 monthly instalments compared to 4,000 at the end of last year. We also now have just over 75% of council tax payers paying by direct debit.

National non domestic rate collection for 2015/16 also improved from 2014/15 by 0.27% to 99.44% despite an increase in liability over the year of £232,000.

3.3 Trinity Arts

Cost of delivery – early indications from the closedown process are that the Centre operated on a deficit or circa £65,000 last year which compared to £96,000 the previous year shows significant improvement. This is also below the £80,000 target set, being the cost of maintaining the building as empty.

The surplus from the artistic programme was £56,600 against a target of £36,000. This demonstrates effective programming, and the fact that we are securing shows on financially advantageous terms and keeping other costs down.

Audience figures were 17,600 against a target of 9,600 with an average occupancy of 57% across all performances.

4.0 Highlights from areas not mentioned above

- 4.1 Whilst this is an exception report which deals primarily with under or over performing measures, we would like to provide members with a flavour of activity currently ongoing in those areas which are on target and delivering as anticipated.
- 4.2 Home Choices has been actively seeking to improve on its performance indicators. Whilst the cost of homelessness has fallen to the Authority thanks to a new approach, there has been an audit of the CBL (housing register) system and associated processes. This has validated concerns raised by the service relating to Acis nominations performance, wider strategic CBL partnership and ICT functionality and stability. A significant amount of work and intervention from WLDC during the quarter has led to improved Acis nominations performance, along with tracking of nominations activity.

We are pleased to advise that a home choices advisor has been nominated for a 'young future housing leaders' award and has been shortlisted to the top 30.

4.3 The HR Team have been busy supporting managers with absence management. The absence figures for the year 2015/16 are the lowest they have been at 6.16 days per FTE, there are a number of supporting reasons for this and specifically the proactive management of absence.

The staff survey was conducted in the summer of 2015 and an enthusiastic group of staff members have formed a focus group to work on proactive solutions to the themes identified in the survey. A further survey will be explored by the group in 2016.

March 2016

Service	Baseline	Cumulative	Target	Actual	Explanation	Rectification				
Assets & Facilities	Assets & Facilities Management									
Customer Satisfaction (internal tenants)	66%	Annual	66%	83.5%	-	-				
(G)										
Maintenance Fund (G) Void Rate (%) (G)	New measure		70% planned 30% unplanned	82/18	Planned maintenance that has been funded from reserves has been reflected in the revenue budget and caused a swing toward planned maintenance.	-				
Building Control										
Cost of delivering the Building Control service	£18,768		£4,977	-£8,715	-	-				

	T			1	1
Cost of delivering the Building Control service per head of population	New measure	£0.06	-0.10	-	-
(G)					
Benefits					
Overpayments created – overpayments collected (R)	New measure	50%	63.98	In-year overpayments has increased resulting in a higher than normal recovery rate as new overpayments are being recovered from on-going entitlement as a first recovery option.	-
End to End processing times for Housing Benefit and Council Tax Support (mean)	3.6	6	2.5	Due to volume of changes processed urgently for the New Year calculations, the monthly	Seasonal

Council Tax	<u> </u>	1			
(R)					
Complaints	95	72	144	-	-
(G)					
Staff Absenteeism	-	0.625	0.38	-	-
(G)					
Percentage of calls answered	New measure	85	92%	-	-
				target for 2016/17.	
				how we intend to achieve a revised	
(R)				developed to ensure we know	
_				currently being	
through self-service				ambitious target and plans are	
Percentage of demand received	New measure	90	26%	This was an	-
Corporate					
				average time to process figure).	
				new claims	
				the cost of the	
				dramatically (at	
				processing times have improved	

Council Tax in year collection rate (G)	98.23%	98.0%	98.34%	Increase on last year's collection rate equating to a total of £23,000. But the amount of council tax to be collected increased from 2015 to 2016 by just under £1.5 million by just under £1.5 million so the team actually collected an additional sum of £1,497 million compared to 2015.	
NNDR in year collection rate (G)	99.17%	99%	99.44%	Increase on last year's collection by 0.27%.	-
Cost of delivering the Council Tax service (G)	£300,370	£243,108	£221,724	All court costs posted	-
Customer Satisfacti	on		<u> </u>		
Customer contacts received through	New measure	10	23%	This was an ambitious target	-

phone and face to face (R)				and plans are currently being developed to ensure we know how we intend to	
				achieve a revised target for 2016/17.	
Democratic Services	3				
Total cost of member and support services per number	New measure	£1,528	£513	Employee insurance profiling issue	Profiling issue resolved
(G)					
Economic Developm	nent				
Cost of providing the Economic Development service per head of population (R)	-	£0.34	0.39	Salary variations and maternity leave have caused March to be higher than target. However the year to date position is £.26 per head of population	-
Number of business assisted	-	200	248	-	-
(G)					
Enforcement					

Time taken to resolve a housing enforcement request (R)	59	60	88	The demand on the service has increased in 15/16	Review of resources is being undertaken.
Time taken to resolve a planning enforcement request	61	90	191	The service has seen an increased demand and has less resources available to deal with this.	Review of resources is being undertaken.
Housing enforcement requests received (R)	116	120	146	The service has experienced consistent and high demand since January 16	Review of resources is being undertaken.
Planning enforcement requests received (R)	266	264	248	The service has experienced consistently high levels of demand during 15/16	Review of resources is being undertaken.
Environmental Prot	ection				
Cost of delivering the Environmental Protection service per head of population	-	£1.08	£1.23	Changes in budget codes due a change in salary allocation. The proposed income	Re-align budgets in accordance to salary allocation. Realistic income targets for the service

(R)				was also not achieved.	
Nuisance complaints completed within timescales	-	95%	100	-	-
(G)					
Cost of delivering the Environmental Protection service	-	£95,904	£109,875	-	-
(R)					
Food Safety					
Customer Satisfaction	-	80%	100%		
(G)					
Home Choices	T			T	
Cost of temporary accommodation	£44,481	£47,604	£24,058	This is significantly reduced due to a	New model of delivering temporary accommodation was delivered in Feb.
(R)				change in TA provision during the period.	
Number of applicants rehoused	309	444	277	Dependent on number of void	Continue to work closely with Acis.

and the second second	<u> </u>			l a ser est Cara	Т
per year from the				properties.	
housing register				Improvement plan	
				with Acis has	
(R)				ensured that	
				allocations are	
				policy compliant.	
Healthy District					
Customer	98%	80%	96%	Customer	-
Satisfaction of				satisfaction	
leisure facilities and				remains high	
activities				across all three	
				facilities.	
(G)					
Cost of Leisure	_	£1.20	£0.74	Good usage of the	-
Management fee		2=5		centres providing	
per service user				good value of	
por convice deci				money	
(G)				l meney	
New participants at	_	28700	31,791	Performance well	-
West Lindsey			0 1,1 0 1	ahead of target.	
Leisure facilities				New users being	
Loidard Identities				attracted to	
(G)				facilities by	
(6)				marketing activity	
				and high quality	
				provision.	
Percentage of Client	New measure	70%	100%	Health Trainer	_
_	INCW IIICASUIC	1070	100 /0		-
Support				team currently in	
programmes that				process of	
are completed				redundancy and	

(G)				getting all records up to date.	
Housing					
Average days from DFG approval to completion (R)	New measure	60	68	The measure was 8 days above target for this month due to complex cases.	No action required. The overall timescale is still within the target for the completion of works
The average spend per DFG (R)	£3,769	£3500	4624	The number of large complex grants has increased in year.	No action needed
Number of affordable homes delivered (R)	59	48	29	The number of sites on which affordable housing has been delivered has decreased. This is mainly due to changes in government grant levels and a lack of funding for the delivery of affordable housing.	The Council is engaging with the HC in regards to future delivery. However it is likely that delivery of affordable homes will decrease generally moving forward

Total spend on completed disabled facilities grants	-	336996	1620828.27	Remaining budget allocated to jobs for completion.	No action needed
(R)					
ICT					
Incident and Problem Management	New measure	85%	91.79	-	-
(G)					
Change Management	New measure	50%	121.82	-	-
(G)					
Service and System availability	New measure	98%	100	-	-
(G)					
Service and System availability: Secure Network	New measure	98%	100	-	-
(G)					
Local Land Charges	1		<u>'</u>	<u>'</u>	

Cost of delivering the Local Land Charge service per head of population (R)	New measure	-£0.02	0.00 (Return for March)	The service is still very resource heavy due to the paper based process; meaning that at times of high demand, backlogs ensue and additional resource has be drafted in at short notice causing a spike in costs which is not necessarily covered by any increase in income. Our current IT system does not allow access to certain elements of raw data by members of the public or personal search companies which means certain information	Implement a new ICT system in conjunction with Development Management and Building Control. This system will * Allow all data to be held digitally and interrogated with as few clicks as possible * Pertinent parts of the system can be locked down to allow raw data to be accessed by the public, thus allowing the authority to charge if customers wish to have this data collated and presented in a particular format * An appropriate IT system will ensure that the service is more resilient and will allow the team to explore other income generating ideas and market the service to past lost customers.
				certain information has to be collated	

				free of charge by the service, which means certain resource costs are not covered.	
Time taken to process a search (G)	10	10	6	-	-
Cost of delivering the local land charge service (G)	-	£-22,896	-102,186	-	-
Income lost to private search companies (R) Localism and Comi	£104,500	£104,496	£116,366	Because of the fragility of the Local Land Charges service and its propensity for backlogs, there has been no active market of the service to potential clients nor any attempt to claw back market share	Implement a new ICT system in conjunction with Development Management and Building Control. This system will * An appropriate IT system will ensure that the service is more resilient and will allow the team to explore other income generating ideas and market the service to past lost customers.

Investigated community safety reports (G)	118	1368	51	Performance under projected target	Unsure of the reasons why a target is assigned to this measure
Markets					
Satisfaction levels of Gainsborough market traders	86%	66%	77%	-	-
(G)					
The number of stalls on a Tuesday (R)	-	66	40 (average)	Markets has been managed by the Localism Team during 2015/16 and was passed to Projects and Growth in March. There has been little time to address the poor performance in 2015/16	In view of this, a review is being undertaken to look at options for outsourcing the market to be presented to PC in September. Note that the operation is now with Operational Services
The number of stalls on a Saturday (R)	-	30	(average)	Markets has been managed by the Localism Team during 2015/16	In view of this, a review is being undertaken to look at options for outsourcing the market to be presented to PC

				and was passed to Projects and Growth in March. There has been little time to address the poor performance in 2015/16.	in September. Note that the operation is now with Operational Services
Planning					
Percentage of majors determined within gov guidelines (G)	-	50%	75%	Performance is exceeding government targets	-
Invalidity Rate (R)	92%	20%	54%	Data has now been captured to understand the reasons for invalids.	Work with 'frequent' offenders to reduce invalid rate, increase awareness through supporting material
Percentage of others determined within gov guidelines (G)	49%	80%	97%	-	-

Percentage of appeals that are allowed (R)	-	20%	36%	38 decisions have been appealed of which 22 have been determined and 8 allowed. Of the 22 determined, 5 were committee overturns of which 4 were allowed.	
Public Protection			1		
Nuisance complaints completed within timescales (G)	-	95%	100%	-	-
Street Cleansing					
Complaints (G)	New measure	12	2	Performance ahead of target mainly due to well motivated, well trained workforce. Absence levels low so few issues with replacement staff who possess less knowledge.	-

Compliments (G)	New measure	12	16	Performance on track	-				
Systems Development									
LLPG Standard (G)	New measure	Achieve National Standard	Gold (3 above ANS)	Achieve 3 levels above target	Nothing, this is the best we can be. Just need to maintain this standard ideally.				
Website Availability (G)	New measure	98%	100	Excellent proactive monitoring of servers	Ensure we keep the same standard				
Trinity Arts Centre									
Cost of Trinity Arts Centre per user (G)	-	£7.07	£4.75	Good audience numbers providing value for money	_				
Audience Figures (G)	16,988	9600	17619	Good attendance at performances.	-				
Event Occupancy (G)	55%	50%	64%	Good audience numbers and attractive programme	-				

Cost of delivering the Trinity Arts Centre (R)		£80,004	£85,556	Ahead of tolerance levels set but significantly under budget.	The tolerance levels set for TAC were for controllable costs and the reported figures have been lifted from the general ledger. The end of year figures have added in depreciation and postage recharges which we do not have any control over or are not aware of before year end. If we remove these from the total cost, the end of year position according to the general ledger is £68,000 which is well below expectations. An additional £3600 relates to the Rural Touring Scheme which is not directly related to TAC.
(G)	£52,945	£36,000	56,686	Received surplus well ahead of target – shows being booked on advantageous terms.	-
Waste Collection		201	401	Va a a a a a d	
Residual household waste collected	-	36kgs	40kgs	Year end 37.32kgs	-
(G)					

_				